arXiv:0707.1847v1 [math.PR] 12 Jul 2007

On A General Theorem For Additive Lévy Processes

By MING YANG

We prove a new theorem and show that this theorem implies Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.5 (with no restrictions), Theorem 2.1 of Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1] and Theorem 2.2 of Khoshnevisan and Xiao [2].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60G60, 60G51; secondary 60G17.

Key words and phrases. Additive Lévy processes, Lebesgue measure, probability measures.

1. Introduction

Let $X^1_{t_1},\ X^2_{t_2},\cdots,X^N_{t_N}$ be N independent Lévy processes in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with their respective Lévy exponents $\Psi_j,\ j=1,2,\cdots,N$. The random field

$$X_t = X^1_{t_1} + X^2_{t_2} + \cdots + X^N_{t_N}, \qquad t=(t_1,t_2,\cdots,t_N)\in\mathbb{R}^N_+$$

is called the additive Lévy process. Let λ_d denote Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Define $E_1+E_2=\{x+y : x\in E_1,\ y\in E_2\}$ for any two sets $E_1,\ E_2$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $(X;\ \Psi_1,\cdots,\Psi_N)$ be an additive Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $(Y;\ \Psi'_1,\cdots,\Psi'_n)$ be a second additive Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}^d$ independent of X. Then for any $G\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)\backslash\{\emptyset\}$,*

$$E\{\lambda_d(X(\mathbb{R}^N_+)+Y(G))\}>0 \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |t_i-s_i|\Psi'_i(\mathrm{sgn}(t_i-s_i)\xi)}\mu(ds)\mu(dt)\right)\prod_{j=1}^N \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)}\right)d\xi<\infty \tag{1.1}$$

for some probability measure μ on G.

If we take $G=\{0\}$, we obtain

$$E\{\lambda_d(X(\mathbb{R}^N_+))\}>0 \Longleftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\prod_{j=1}^N \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)}\right)d\xi<\infty. \tag{1.2}$$

This is Theorem 1.1 of Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1] with no assumptions. If we let $X=0$ we obtain Theorem 2.1 of Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1]. If we take a standard additive α-stable process S^α from $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\alpha\in(0,1)$ to be X, we obtain Theorem 2.2 of Khoshnevisan and Xiao [2]. If we consider a deterministic additive Lévy process from $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$ as Y, we obtain

For any $F\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)\backslash\{\emptyset\}$,

$$E\{\lambda_d(X(\mathbb{R}^N_+)+F)\}>0 \Longleftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\hat{\mu}(\xi)|^2\prod_{j=1}^N \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)}\right)d\xi<\infty \tag{1.3}$$

for some probability measure μ *on* F*, where* $\hat{\mu}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi\cdot x}\mu(dx),\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

(The proof is given in the next section.) This is Theorem 1.5 of Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1] without extra conditions.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Our proof is based on a modification of the proof of Theorem 2.2 of Khoshnevisan and Xiao [2]. Let us lay out some groundwork first.

Let $z_1, \cdots, z_N$ be N complex numbers such that $\mathrm{Re}(z_j) \geq 0$. There are 2^N different permutations to write down a partial conjugate of the vector $(z_1, \cdots, z_N)$. For example, $(z_1, \cdots, z_N)$ (itself), $(\overline{z_1}, \cdots, z_N)$, $(z_1, \cdots, \overline{z_N})$, $(\overline{z_1}, \overline{z_2}, \cdots, z_N)$, $(\overline{z_1}, \overline{z_2}, \cdots, \overline{z_N})$, and so on. Let $(z_1^{\pm}, z_2^{\pm}, \cdots, z_N^{\pm})$ denote the generic partially conjugated vector. Then, we have

$$\sum_{\pm} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1+z_j^{\pm}} = 2^N \prod_{j=1}^{N} \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+z_j}\right) > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Identity (2.1) can be proved by induction. It follows immediately from (2.1) that

$$\sum_{\pm} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1+\Psi_j^{\pm}(\xi)} = 2^N \prod_{j=1}^{N} \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)}\right) > 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $X^{\pm}$ be the additive Lévy process with Lévy exponent $(\Psi_1^{\pm}, \cdots, \Psi_N^{\pm})$. In other words, $X^{\pm} = \pm X^1 \pm X^2 \cdots \pm X^N$. Here, $\pm$ has the true meaning of $+$ or $-$. Define

$$Z^{\pm} = Y + X^{\pm}.$$

Here we require the 2^N additive Lévy processes $Z^{\pm}$ to be totally independent. In other words, we have 2^N independent copies of Y (with the same notation though) and 2^N independent additive Lévy processes $X^{\pm}$, independent of the Y's as well. For the sake of convenience, we index the $Z^{\pm}$ as $Z^1, Z^2, \cdots, Z^{2^N}$ with $Z^1 = Y + X$. We define a super additive Lévy process

$$Z = (Z^1, Z^2, \cdots, Z^{2^N}).$$

Clearly, Z is a $2^N(n+N)-$parameter additive Lévy process taking values in $\mathbb{R}^{2^N d}$.

Let $P_{\lambda_{2^N d}}$ and $E_{\lambda_{2^N d}}$ be the sigma-finite measure and the corresponding expectation with respect to Z. The reader is referred to Sections 3, 4 of Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1] for all developments about $P_{\lambda_{2^N d}}$ and $E_{\lambda_{2^N d}}$. Throughout, we only write P_λ and E_λ rather than $P_{\lambda_{2^N d}}$ and $E_{\lambda_{2^N d}}$, respectively. We also introduce the $2^N(n+N)-$parameter process $\mathcal{M}_s^{A,f,\mu}$ based on Z, the same thing as $\mathcal{M}_\mu^A f(s)$ in Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1]. One of our proof techniques is to manipulate the four parameters A, f, μ, s.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Since the direction $\Longleftarrow$ is a special case of Theorem 2.1 of Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1], the direction $\Longrightarrow$ is something we have to prove. Suffice it to show that

$$E\{\lambda_d(X([0,l]^N)+Y(G))\}>0 \Longrightarrow$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |t_i-s_i|\Psi'_i(\mathrm{sgn}(t_i-s_i)\xi)}\mu(ds)\mu(dt)\right)\prod_{j=1}^N \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)}\right)d\xi<\infty$$

for some probability measure μ on G, where G is compact and $l\in(0,\infty)$.

By Proposition 10.3 and Theorem 2.1 of Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1], it is always true that $E\{\lambda_d(X'([0,l]^N)+Y'(G))\}<\infty$ for any processes X', Y' and compact G. We separate the two cases whether G has positive Lebesgue measure.

Case 1 $\lambda_n(G)>0$. In this case, by Proposition 10.3 and Theorem 2.1 of Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1],

$$E\{\lambda_d(Z^i(G\times[0,l]^N))\}\approx E\{\lambda_d(Z^j(G\times[0,l]^N))\} \tag{2.3}$$

for any two Z^i, Z^j, $\approx$ depending on $\lambda_n(G)$ and l. [Here, the symbol $\approx$ means that there is a constant $c\in(0,\infty)$ such that $c^{-1}f_1\le f_2\le cf_1$ for two nonnegative functions or quantities f_1 and f_2.]

Let G^δ be the closed δ-enlargement of G for $\delta>0$, that is, the smallest compact set such that for each point $s=(s_1,\cdots,s_n)\in G$, $[s_1,s_1+\delta]\times\cdots\times[s_n,s_n+\delta]\subset G^\delta$. Let $|x|=(x\cdot x)^{1/2}$ and let $B(x,r)$ be the closed ball of radius r with center at x. Define $B^\delta=(B(0,\delta))^{2^N}=(B(0,\delta),\cdots,B(0,\delta))$.

By the definition of P_λ, also thanks to Fubini's theorem, independence and the fact that $-B(0,r)=B(0,r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_\lambda\{Z((G^\delta\times[0,l]^N)^{2^N})\bigcap B^\delta\neq\emptyset\}\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2^N d}} P\left\{(x+Z((G^\delta\times[0,l]^N)^{2^N}))\bigcap B^\delta\neq\emptyset\right\}dx\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2^N d}} P\left\{Z^1(G^\delta\times[0,l]^N)\bigcap B(x_1,\delta)\neq\emptyset,\cdots,Z^{2^N}(G^\delta\times[0,l]^N)\bigcap B(x_{2^N},\delta)\neq\emptyset\right\}\\
&\quad\cdot dx_1\cdots dx_{2^N}\\
&=\prod_{i=1}^{2^N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P\left\{Z^i(G^\delta\times[0,l]^N)\bigcap B(x,\delta)\neq\emptyset\right\}dx\\
&=\prod_{i=1}^{2^N} E\left\{\lambda_d(Z^i(G^\delta\times[0,l]^N)+B(0,\delta))\right\}\\
&\to\prod_{i=1}^{2^N} E\left\{\lambda_d\left(\overline{Z^i(G\times[0,l]^N)}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

downwards as $\delta\to0$. By (2.3),

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2^N} E\left\{\lambda_d\left(\overline{Z^i(G\times[0,l]^N)}\right)\right\}\ge c_1\left[E\{\lambda_d(X([0,l]^N)+Y(G))\}\right]^{2^N}>0$$

for some constant $c_1 \in (0,\infty)$, and for all $\delta > 0$,

$$P_\lambda\{Z((G^\delta \times [0,l]^N)^{2^N}) \bigcap B^\delta \neq \emptyset\} \in (0,\infty).$$

We add a cemetery point $\Delta \notin \mathbb{R}_+^n$ to $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ to construct a measurable map T^δ (random variable) from Ω to $\mathbf{Q}_+^n \cup \{\Delta\}$, where $\mathbf{Q}$ stands for rational as always. T^δ is defined as follows. $T^\delta \neq \Delta$ if and only if $T^\delta \in \mathbf{Q}_+^n \bigcap (0,\infty)^n \bigcap G^\delta$ and there exist $t_i \in (0,l]^N \bigcap \mathbf{Q}_+^N$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^N$, such that $|Z^i_{(T^\delta,t_i)}| \leq \delta$. This can always be done. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_\lambda\left\{T^\delta \neq \Delta\right\} \\
&= P_\lambda\left\{Z((G^\delta \times [0,l]^N)^{2^N}) \bigcap B^\delta \neq \emptyset\right\} \\
&= P_\lambda\left\{Z^i(G^\delta \times [0,l]^N) \bigcap B(0,\delta) \neq \emptyset,\ 1 \leq i \leq 2^N\right\} \in (0,\infty).
\end{aligned}$$

There is therefore a probability measure μ^δ in $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ supported on G^δ given by

$$\mu^\delta(\bullet) = \frac{P_\lambda\{T^\delta \in \bullet,\ T^\delta \neq \Delta\}}{P_\lambda\{T^\delta \neq \Delta\}}. \tag{2.4}$$

By Lemma 4.2 of Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1], for any $\mathbf{A},\ \mathbf{f},\ \nu$ [ν probability measure in $\mathbb{R}_+^{2^N(n+N)}$] and $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{2^N(n+N)}$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{f},\nu} \geq \int_{\mathbf{t} \succeq_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{s}} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(Z_{\mathbf{s}})\nu(d\mathbf{t}), \quad P_\lambda - a.s. \tag{2.5}$$

See (3.1) and Lemma 3.1 of Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1] for the definition of the operator $P_{\mathbf{t}}\mathbf{f}$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{f},\nu} \geq \int_{\mathbf{t} \succeq_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{s}} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(Z_{\mathbf{s}})\nu(d\mathbf{t}) \cdot 1_{\{|Z^i_{\mathbf{s}^i}| \leq \delta,\ 1 \leq i \leq 2^N\}}, \quad P_\lambda - a.s. \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathbf{s} = (\mathbf{s}^1, \cdots, \mathbf{s}^{2^N}),\ \mathbf{s}^i \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+N}$. Our next step is to make 2^N different combinations of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{f}, \nu, \mathbf{s}$ so that we have 2^N different inequalities of (2.6), and then we sum them up to see what happens to the right-hand side. Here, the P_λ-null set in (2.5) depends on $\mathbf{s}$. Thus, if $\mathbf{s}$ is random and if we wish that (2.5) holds uniformly in ω, one way is to require $\mathbf{s}$ to take rational points only.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, define $f_\varepsilon(x) = (2\pi\varepsilon)^{-d/2}e^{-|x|^2/2\varepsilon},\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $u,\ v \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $s,\ t \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$. In this paper, we have only to consider the partial orders on $\mathbb{R}_+^n$. So, if π is a partial order on $\mathbb{R}_+^n$, the corresponding partial order A on $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+N}$ is defined by $(u,s) \preceq_A (v,t) \Longleftrightarrow u \preceq_\pi v,\ s_j \leq t_j,\ 1 \leq j \leq N$. Let $\kappa(dt) = e^{-\sum_{j=1}^N t_j}dt,\ t = (t_1, \cdots, t_N) \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ and let μ be a probability measure in $\mathbb{R}_+^n$. Take

$$\mathbf{s} = (0, \cdots 0, (v,s)_i, 0 \cdots, 0), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\nu = \mu \otimes \kappa \otimes \mu_0, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = f_\varepsilon(x)e^{-\frac{|x'|^2}{2}}. \tag{2.9}$$

The notation in (2.7) is clear. In (2.8), μ_0 is the point mass at 0 acting on all irrelevant time parameters other than $(v,s)_i$. Note that for any Lévy exponent Ψ'',

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m_+} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^m u_i \Psi''_i(\xi)} \mu_0(du) = 1.$$

In (2.9), x corresponds to Z^i and x' is for all other irrelevant components in the value space of Z. For $\mathbf{A}$, we take $\mathbf{A} = (A_0, A)$. Here, A_0 is always the componentwise natural order, i.e., $(a_1, \cdots, a_m) \preceq_{A_0} (b_1, \cdots, b_m) \Longleftrightarrow a_k \leq b_k,\ 1 \leq k \leq m$. Of course, A is for $(v,s)_i$ while A_0 takes place elsewhere. By Lemma 3.1 of Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{t} \succeq_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{s}} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{f}(0) \nu(d\mathbf{t}) \\
&= c_2 e^{-\sum_{j=1}^N s_j} \int_{u \succeq_\pi v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i - v_i| \Psi'_i(\mathrm{sgn}(u_i - v_i)\xi)} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{1+\Psi_j^{\pm}(\xi)} d\xi \mu(du),
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_2 \in (0,\infty)$ is a constant which involves $\pi,\ d,\ N,\ n,$ as well as a $(2^N - 1)d$ power of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy$, but is identical for our all choices of $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{f}, \nu, \mathbf{s})$. Here, it is valid to interchange the order of integration owing to the term $e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi'|^2}$. Therefore, by (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{2^N} \int_{\mathbf{t} \succeq_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{s}} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{f}(0) \nu(d\mathbf{t}) \\
&= c_3 e^{-\sum_{j=1}^N s_j} \int_{u \succeq_\pi v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i - v_i| \Psi'_i(\mathrm{sgn}(u_i - v_i)\xi)} \prod_{j=1}^N \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)} \right) d\xi \mu(du).
\end{aligned}$$

($c_3 = 2^N c_2$.) On the other hand, By Lemma 4.2 of Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1],

$$E_\lambda \left(\sup_{\theta \in \mathbf{Q}_+^{2^N(n+N)}} \mathcal{M}_\theta^{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{f},\nu} \right)^2 \leq c_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} Q_\mu(\xi) d\xi, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$Q_\mu(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |t_i - s_i| \Psi'_i(\mathrm{sgn}(t_i - s_i)\xi)} \mu(ds)\mu(dt) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^N \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)} \right).$$

Here, $c_4 \in (0,\infty)$ is a constant similar to c_2. To justify (2.10), we notice that $e^{-\varepsilon^2|\xi|^2} < e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2}$, $Q_\mu(\xi) \in (0,1]$, and for all $X^{\pm}$,

$$\prod_{j=1}^N \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j^{\pm}(\xi)} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^N \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)} \right),$$

and one final detail, the effect of the point mass μ_0.

The Lipschitz continuity of $\mathbf{f}$ is evident. Let $D(\varepsilon)$ be the Lipschitz constant of $\mathbf{f}$. By the definition of $P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}$,

$$D(\varepsilon)\delta + \inf_{|z|\le\delta} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(z) \ge P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(0).$$

Since ν is a probability measure,

$$D(\varepsilon)\delta + \int_{\mathbf{t}\succeq_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{s}} \inf_{|z|\le\delta} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(z)\nu(d\mathbf{t}) \ge \int_{\mathbf{t}\succeq_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{s}} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(0)\nu(d\mathbf{t}).$$

If $|Z^i_{\mathbf{s}^i}| \le \delta,\ 1 \le i \le 2^N$, then $|Z_{\mathbf{s}}| = |Z_{0,\mathbf{s}^i,0}| \le \delta$ and $P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(Z_{\mathbf{s}}) \ge \inf_{|z|\le\delta} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(z)$. Note that $\inf_{|z|\le\delta} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(z)$ is a function of $\mathbf{t}$ independent of ω for each fixed $\mathbf{s}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{t}\succeq_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{s}} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(Z_{\mathbf{s}})\nu(d\mathbf{t}) \cdot 1_{\{|Z^i_{\mathbf{s}^i}|\le\delta,\ 1\le i\le 2^N\}}\\
&\ge \int_{\mathbf{t}\succeq_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{s}} \inf_{|z|\le\delta} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(z)\nu(d\mathbf{t}) \cdot 1_{\{|Z^i_{\mathbf{s}^i}|\le\delta,\ 1\le i\le 2^N\}}\\
&\ge \left[\int_{\mathbf{t}\succeq_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{s}} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(0)\nu(d\mathbf{t}) - D(\varepsilon)\delta\right] \cdot 1_{\{|Z^i_{\mathbf{s}^i}|\le\delta,\ 1\le i\le 2^N\}}
\end{aligned}$$

and subsequently

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{2^N} \int_{\mathbf{t}\succeq_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{s}} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(Z_{\mathbf{s}})\nu(d\mathbf{t}) \cdot 1_{\{|Z^i_{\mathbf{s}^i}|\le\delta,\ 1\le i\le 2^N\}}\\
&\ge \sum_{i=1}^{2^N} \left[\int_{\mathbf{t}\succeq_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{s}} P_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{f}(0)\nu(d\mathbf{t}) - D(\varepsilon)\delta\right] \cdot 1_{\{|Z^i_{\mathbf{s}^i}|\le\delta,\ 1\le i\le 2^N\}}\\
&= [c_3 e^{-\sum_{j=1}^N s_j} \int_{u\succeq_\pi v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i - v_i| \Psi'_i(\mathrm{sgn}(u_i - v_i)\xi)} \prod_{j=1}^N \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)}\right) d\xi \mu(du)\\
&\quad - 2^N D(\varepsilon)\delta] \cdot 1_{\{|Z^i_{\mathbf{s}^i}|\le\delta,\ 1\le i\le 2^N\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.6) and the definition of T^δ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{2^N} \sup_{\theta\in\mathbf{Q}_+^{2^N(n+N)}} \mathcal{M}_\theta^{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{f},\nu}\\
&\ge [c_3 e^{-lN} \int_{u\succeq_\pi T^\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i - T_i^\delta| \Psi'_i(\mathrm{sgn}(u_i - T_i^\delta)\xi)} \prod_{j=1}^N \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)}\right) d\xi \mu(du)\\
&\quad - 2^N D(\varepsilon)\delta] \cdot 1_{\{T^\delta\neq\Delta\}}, \qquad\qquad P_\lambda - a.s.
\end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the preceding as

$$2^N D(\varepsilon)\delta \cdot 1_{\{T^\delta\neq\Delta\}} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^N} \sup_{\theta\in\mathbf{Q}_+^{2^N(n+N)}} \mathcal{M}_\theta^{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{f},\nu} \ge c_3 e^{-lN} \int_{u\succeq_\pi T^\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2}$$

$$e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n}|u_i-T_i^{\delta}|\Psi_i'(\mathrm{sgn}(u_i-T_i^{\delta})\xi)}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)}\right)d\xi\mu(du)\cdot 1_{\{T^{\delta}\neq\Delta\}},\quad P_{\lambda}-a.s. \tag{2.11}$$

Now, in (2.11) replace μ by μ^{δ} and appeal to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p}x_i\right)^2\leq p\sum_{i=1}^{p}x_i^2 \tag{2.12}$$

for any p real numbers $x_i,\ i=1,\cdots p$, to obtain

$$2^{N+1}\delta^2D^2(\varepsilon)\cdot 1_{\{T^{\delta}\neq\Delta\}}+2^{N+1}\sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\left(\sup_{\theta\in\mathbf{Q}_+^{2^N(n+N)}}\mathcal{M}_{\theta}^{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{f},\nu}\right)^2\geq c_3^2e^{-2lN}\{\int_{u\succeq_{\pi}T^{\delta}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2}$$

$$e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n}|u_i-T_i^{\delta}|\Psi_i'(\mathrm{sgn}(u_i-T_i^{\delta})\xi)}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)}\right)d\xi\mu^{\delta}(du)\}^2\cdot 1_{\{T^{\delta}\neq\Delta\}},\quad P_{\lambda}-a.s. \tag{2.13}$$

Taking E_{λ}-expectation on both sides of (2.13) followed by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$2^{N+1}\delta^2D^2(\varepsilon)P_{\lambda}\{T^{\delta}\neq\Delta\}+2^{N+1}\sum_{i=1}^{2^N}E_{\lambda}\left(\sup_{\theta\in\mathbf{Q}_+^{2^N(n+N)}}\mathcal{M}_{\theta}^{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{f},\nu}\right)^2\geq c_3^2e^{-2lN}\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{u\succeq_{\pi}v}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}$$

$$e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2}e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n}|u_i-v_i|\Psi_i'(\mathrm{sgn}(u_i-v_i)\xi)}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)}\right)d\xi\mu^{\delta}(du)\mu^{\delta}(dv)\}^2P_{\lambda}\{T^{\delta}\neq\Delta\}. \tag{2.14}$$

We finally arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}&c_5\delta^2D^2(\varepsilon)P_{\lambda}\left\{T^{\delta}\neq\Delta\right\}+c_6\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2}Q_{\mu^{\delta}}(\xi)d\xi\\&\geq c_7\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2}Q_{\mu^{\delta}}(\xi)d\xi\right)^2P_{\lambda}\left\{T^{\delta}\neq\Delta\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where $c_5,\ c_6,\ c_7\in(0,\infty)$ are some constants completely independent of δ and ε.

There are four small steps from (2.14) to (2.15): A. For any fixed $v\in\mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\sum_{\pi}\int_{u\succeq_{\pi}v}(\bullet)\mu^{\delta}(du)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}(\bullet)\mu^{\delta}(du)$. B. Use (2.12). C. There is no problem with interchanging the order of integration once more thanks to the term $e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2}$. D. Use (2.10).

Choose any sequence $\delta_k\downarrow 0$ as $k\to\infty$ where $k=1,2,\cdots$. Since G^{δ_1} is bounded, there exists a probability measure μ such that along some subsequence $\delta_m\to 0$, $\mu^{\delta_m}\to\mu$ weakly. To see that μ is supported on G, we notice that G, as well as each G^{δ}, is compact and that $G\subset G^{\delta_{m+1}}\subset G^{\delta_m}$. Taking the indicator function $1_{G^{\delta}}$ and noting that μ^{δ_m} is supported on G^{δ_m}, we can easily find a contradiction if μ has a positive mass on a compact set B with $B\cap G=\emptyset$. Next we write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2}Q_{\mu^{\delta_m}}(\xi)d\xi=\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}f(s,t)\mu^{\delta_m}(ds)\mu^{\delta_m}(dt)$$

where

$$f(s,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |t_i-s_i|\Psi_i'(\mathrm{sgn}(t_i-s_i)\xi)} \prod_{j=1}^N \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi_j(\xi)}\right) d\xi.$$

Quite clearly, $f(s,t)$ is a bounded continuous function. From the approximation argument from simple functions to bounded continuous functions in the weak convergence for probability measures, it also holds that $\mu^{\delta_m} \to \mu$ weakly in the double space sense:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(s,t)\mu^{\delta_m}(ds)\mu^{\delta_m}(dt) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(s,t)\mu(ds)\mu(dt).$$

In other words,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} Q_{\mu^{\delta_m}}(\xi)d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} Q_{\mu}(\xi)d\xi > 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Now rewrite (2.15) as

$$\begin{aligned} & c_5\delta^2 D^2(\varepsilon) P_\lambda\left\{T^\delta \neq \Delta\right\}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} Q_{\mu^\delta}(\xi)d\xi\right)^{-2} \\ & +c_6\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} Q_{\mu^\delta}(\xi)d\xi\right)^{-1} \geq c_7 P_\lambda\left\{T^\delta \neq \Delta\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Recall that

$$P_\lambda\left\{T^{\delta_m} \neq \Delta\right\} \to \prod_{i=1}^{2^N} E\left\{\lambda_d\left(\overline{Z^i(G\times[0,l]^N)}\right)\right\} \in (0,\infty) \tag{2.18}$$

downwards as $m \to \infty$.

It follows from (2.16), (2.17) and (2.18) that

$$c_6\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} Q_{\mu}(\xi)d\xi\right)^{-1} \geq c_7 \prod_{i=1}^{2^N} E\left\{\lambda_d\left(\overline{Z^i(G\times[0,l]^N)}\right)\right\} > 0. \tag{2.19}$$

Finally, let $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (2.19) to finish.

Case 2 $\lambda_n(G)=0$. This is the major case because we are more interested in the measure μ on a nontrivial set G with $\lambda_n(G)=0$ satisfying (1.1).

Fix a point $q=(q_1,\cdots,q_n)\in G$. For $\eta\in(0,1]$, let $G_\eta = G\bigcup([q_1,q_1+\eta]\times\cdots\times[q_n,q_n+\eta])$. Choose a copy Y' of Y independent of Z. Consider the deterministic (d,d) additive Lévy process

$$\zeta_t^\eta = (at_1, at_2,\cdots,at_d), \quad (t_1,t_2,\cdots,t_d)\in\mathbb{R}_+^d,$$

where $a=\eta^{-2n(2^N-1)/d}$. Define the additive Lévy process

$$Z^\eta = Y' + \zeta^\eta.$$

Now we replace the Z in Case 1 by an even larger additive Lévy process

$$Z=(Z^1,Z^2,\cdots,Z^{2^N},Z^\eta).$$

Observe that

$$E\{\lambda_d(Y'(G_\eta)+\zeta^\eta([0,1]^d))\} \geq E\{\lambda_d(\zeta^\eta([0,1]^d))\} = a^d = \eta^{-2n(2^N-1)}.$$

By Proposition 10.3 and Theorem 2.1 of Khoshnevisan, Xiao and Zhong [1], for all $i \geq 2$,

$$E\{\lambda_d(Z^i(G_\eta \times [0,l]^N))\} \geq c_8 \eta^{2n} E\{\lambda_d(Z^1(G_\eta \times [0,l]^N))\},$$

where $c_8 \in (0,\infty)$ is some constant totally independent of η. As in Case 1, we first compute

$$P_\lambda\left\{Z^i(G_\eta^\delta \times [0,l]^N)\bigcap B(0,\delta) \neq \emptyset,\ 1 \leq i \leq 2^N,\ Z^\eta(G_\eta^\delta \times [0,1]^d)\bigcap B(0,\delta) \neq \emptyset\right\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_\lambda\left\{Z^i(G_\eta^\delta \times [0,l]^N)\bigcap B(0,\delta) \neq \emptyset,\ 1 \leq i \leq 2^N,\ Z^\eta(G_\eta^\delta \times [0,1]^d)\bigcap B(0,\delta) \neq \emptyset\right\}\\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{2^N} E\left\{\lambda_d(Z^i(G_\eta^\delta \times [0,l]^N) + B(0,\delta))\right\} \cdot E\{\lambda_d(Y'(G_\eta^\delta) + \zeta^\eta([0,1]^d) + B(0,\delta))\}\\
&\geq c_8^{2^N-1}\left[E\{\lambda_d(Z^1(G_\eta \times [0,l]^N))\}\right]^{2^N} (\eta^{2n})^{2^N-1}\eta^{-2n(2^N-1)}\\
&= c_8^{2^N-1}\left[E\{\lambda_d(Z^1(G_\eta \times [0,l]^N))\}\right]^{2^N}\\
&\geq c_8^{2^N-1}\left[E\{\lambda_d(X([0,l]^N) + Y(G))\}\right]^{2^N} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we define the random variable T^δ as $T^\delta \neq \Delta$ if and only if $T^\delta \in \mathbf{Q}_+^n \bigcap (0,\infty)^n \bigcap G_\eta^\delta$ and there exist $t_i \in (0,l]^N \bigcap \mathbf{Q}_+^N$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^N$, such that $|Z^i_{(T^\delta,t_i)}| \leq \delta$ and there exists a $t_0 \in (0,1]^d \bigcap \mathbf{Q}_+^d$ such that $|Z^\eta_{(T^\delta,t_0)}| \leq \delta$.

We then redo the $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{f},\nu}$ thing as in Case 1, but just do not do it for Z^η. We wind up with an inequality in (2.19):

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} Q_{\mu^\eta}(\xi)d\xi\right)^{-1} \geq c_9\left[E\{\lambda_d(X([0,l]^N) + Y(G))\}\right]^{2^N} > 0, \tag{2.20}$$

where $c_9 \in (0,\infty)$ is some constant completely independent of η and ε, and μ^η is a probability measure on G_η. By Fatou's lemma, we can find a probability measure μ on G such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\xi|^2} Q_{\mu}(\xi)d\xi\right)^{-1} \geq c_9\left[E\{\lambda_d(X([0,l]^N) + Y(G))\}\right]^{2^N}. \tag{2.21}$$

This time, let $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (2.21) to complete the proof. □

Proof of (1.3) In Theorem 1.1, we let $n = d$ and $Y = \zeta$, where $\zeta_t = (t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_d)$, $(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$. ζ_t is a deterministic additive Lévy process with Lévy exponents $\Psi_k'(\xi) = -i\xi_k$, $k = 1, 2, \cdots, d$,

$\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. First, assume that $F \subset \mathbb{R}_+^d$. Note that $\zeta(F) = F$. The reader can check that for any probability measure μ in $\mathbb{R}_+^d$,

$$|\hat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} e^{-\sum_{k=1}^d |t_k - s_k| \Psi_k'(\mathrm{sgn}(t_k - s_k)\xi)} \mu(ds)\mu(dt), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(1.3) follows in the case when $F \subset \mathbb{R}_+^d$.

Next we consider an arbitrary F. Let $\mathbb{R}_p^d$ be the p-th closed quadrant of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Define $F^p = F \bigcap \mathbb{R}_p^d$. Since $X(\mathbb{R}_+^N) + F = \bigcup_p (X(\mathbb{R}_+^N) + F^p)$, $\lambda_d(X(\mathbb{R}_+^N) + F) \le \sum_p \lambda_d(X(\mathbb{R}_+^N) + F^p)$. Thus,

$$E\{\lambda_d(X(\mathbb{R}_+^N) + F)\} > 0 \Longleftrightarrow E\{\lambda_d(X(\mathbb{R}_+^N) + F^p)\} > 0$$

for some p.

Let ζ^p be the deterministic additive Lévy process corresponding to the quadrant $\mathbb{R}_p^d$; i.e., $\zeta_t^p = (\pm t_1, \pm t_2, \cdots, \pm t_d)$, $(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$. Let $(\Psi_1', \cdots, \Psi_d')$ be the Lévy exponent of ζ^p. Let $\widetilde{F}^p = (\zeta^p)^{-1}(F^p)$, where $(\zeta^p)^{-1} : \mathbb{R}_p^d \to \mathbb{R}_+^d$ is the inverse of ζ^p. Then $\widetilde{F}^p \subset \mathbb{R}_+^d$ and $\zeta^p(\widetilde{F}^p) = F^p$. Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be any probability measure in $\mathbb{R}_+^d$. Then $\mu = \tilde{\mu} \circ (\zeta^p)^{-1}$ is a probability measure in $\mathbb{R}_p^d$. In particular, if $\tilde{\mu}$ is on $\widetilde{F}^p$, then μ is on F^p. Similarly, we have

$$|\hat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} e^{-\sum_{k=1}^d |t_k - s_k| \Psi_k'(\mathrm{sgn}(t_k - s_k)\xi)} \tilde{\mu}(ds)\tilde{\mu}(dt), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d. \qquad \square$$

REFERENCES

[1] Khoshnevisan, D., Xiao, Y. and Zhong, Y. (2003). Measuring the range of an additive Lévy process. *Ann. Probab.* **31**, 1097-1141.

[2] Khoshnevisan, D. and Xiao, Y. (2005). Lévy processes: capacity and Hausdorff dimension. *Ann. Probab.* **33**, 841-878.